



Care First, Jails Last Taskforce

APPROVED Minutes

Thursday, July 28, 2022 | 1:00 PM – 2:30 PM

This meeting was conducted exclusively through videoconference and teleconference

Care First,
Jails Last

Webinar via Zoom: [Care First, Jails Last Task Force \(July 28, 2022\)](#)

Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by Chair Karyn Tribble at 1:04 p.m.

Roll Call & Introductions

Members present: Bloom; Danao; Dasheill; Dixon; Graves; Lee; Neff; Neideffer; Penn; Sheehan-Rahman; Souza; Syren; Toro; Chair Tribble

Absent: Ford, Louis, Pingali, Singh

Excused: Abbott, Bedrossian; Cespedes, Staratt

Agreement to Hold Monthly Virtual Meetings

Approval granted to hold meetings via teleconference pursuant to AB361. This is to promote social distancing due to the state and local measures in response to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. This recommendation follows the 09/28/2021 County Board of Supervisors' adoption of the Health Care Services Agency Director's recommendation that the Board implement social distancing for its meetings.

A motion was made by Member Dasheill and seconded by Member Toro acknowledging the state and local measure responses to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic and also to continue holding meetings via teleconference in accordance with the Brown Act.

Ayes: Bloom; Danao; Dasheill; Dixon; Graves; Lee; Neff; Neideffer; Sheehan-Rahman; Souza; Toro; Chair Tribble

Noes: None

Abstain: Syren

Public comment given

Review and Approve Prior Meeting's Minutes

A motion to approve June 23, 2022 CFJL Taskforce meeting minutes was made by Member Syren and seconded by Member Toro.

Ayes: Bloom; Danao; Dasheill; Dixon; Graves; Lee; Neff; Neideffer; Sheehan-Rahman; Souza; Toro; Chair Tribble



Care First, Jails Last Taskforce

APPROVED Minutes

Thursday, July 28, 2022 | 1:00 PM – 2:30 PM

This meeting was conducted exclusively through videoconference and teleconference

Care First,
Jails Last

Noes: None

Abstain: Syren

Discussion Items

Brown Act FAQs

Board members and those of the community are urged to familiarize themselves with the Brown Act FAQs in the detailed attachment provided in the June meeting packet. Please refer to the CFJL website for more information.

CFJL Community Representative

Based on the requests of the board members and a few members of the community a CFJL community representative will be elected. This individual must be a member of the CFJL task force and will be elected from the 5 community representative seats noted by the BOS proclamation. The duty of this individual includes (but not limited to): providing input and voice concerns of the community, give input on agenda items, serve as the chair in the absence of designated chair, and more.

The taskforce members suggest there be a vote for community representative and an alternate to provide some flexibility to the appointed. Member Toro adds that the language in the proclamation automatically enables the county department to select an alternate, so this request will be consistent with that process.

Motion for the next meeting in August, RDA will help to ensure that there will be a vote for a community representative and a vote for a designated alternate. Member Toro motioned and Peggy Rahman seconds the motion.

Ayes: Bloom; Danao; Dasheill; Dixon; Graves; Lee; Neff; Neideffer; Sheehan-Rahman; Souza; Toro; Chair Tribble

Noes: None

Abstain: Syren

Taskforce Departmental Plan Approach

Option 1: Sequential Intercept

In this model the Task Force will go through the process together focusing on each intercept together and make recommendations to each department/agency. Representatives from each department that sit on the Task Force would be expected to track and incorporate each of the recommendations as



Care First, Jails Last Taskforce

APPROVED Minutes

Thursday, July 28, 2022 | 1:00 PM – 2:30 PM

This meeting was conducted exclusively through videoconference and teleconference



they come up during the meetings. This approach means that the Task Force needs an update from each department that highlights what has been included in their plan based on that given intercept.

In terms of funding, recommendations would be made to individual departments by the Task Force through the finance subcommittee.

This option is the simplest and least detailed approach.

Option 2: By Department and Intercept

Still focusing on the aforementioned intercept plan but now incorporating departments. This option will be using the sequential intercept map as the model but each department is required to develop a plan. Each department will select a primary intercept and also include other intercepts as needed. The Departments will present the primary intercepts they'll be adopting and report back to the Task Force those recommendations that want to incorporate into their plan, similar to option 1.

Funding recommendations will be made to the individual departments by the Task Force through the finance subcommittee.

Option 3: By Department, Intercept and Duration/Cost

Each department would identify a primary intercept, key focus points and include in their plan based on that primary intercept. Those plans will be present to the Task Force and report what they want to include in the plan. In addition to focusing on the intercept, this plan will include target dates for completion and cost. In this model the plan will also contain the short term, medium term and long-term goals with estimated cost.

Instead, the finance subcommittee may make global recommendations in addition to the input from departments.

This model was used by ACBH.

Member Bloom expresses his interest in Option 1. He prefers the idea that the entire Task Force can work together through each intercept to be fully involved in the decision-making process. Each department may have a unique perspective on a particular intercept which may yield alternate results in comparison to one department primarily focusing on a single one.

Member Neideffer questions the infrastructure that serves the new system. Which approach is best suited to allow for that discussion around an integration and infrastructure? Is there another layer that needs to be discussed prior? Dr. Tribble recaps each option and explains the infrastructure for each one.



Care First, Jails Last Taskforce

APPROVED Minutes

Thursday, July 28, 2022 | 1:00 PM – 2:30 PM

This meeting was conducted exclusively through videoconference and teleconference

Care First,
Jails Last

Member Danao expresses her concern with the additional layer of separating into departments may not replicate cohesively, all departments and agencies rely on one another which may create disconnect between them.

Member Lee would like to place her vote with the most unified approach. In terms of financing, she thinks its imp to figure out how to silo funds. Based on the approach chosen, how does that look in terms of the structure of the task force meetings moving forward? Dr. Taylor clarifies that once the approach is chosen, the Task Force can then strategize the approach for future meetings based on that option.

Member Syren expresses concern as to how each agency will be able to “attack” the intercepts and how does the task force stay on track with the collaborative framework. At the end of the two years, each department should have a solid plan of action in how to implement these intercepts.

A fourth option was proposed, which is a combination of Option 1 and 3 where it will follow a sequential intercept model and include the duration/cost aspect of Option 3. Option 4 is an eco-systemic framework that will prevent a linear approach and allow for a full scope discussion.

Motion to adopt a plan approach that includes the collaborative elements in the first option, but does require more infrastructure, financing and the sequential intercept model was made by Member Neideffer and seconded by Member Bloom. This motion will be referred to as Option 4.

Ayes: Bloom; Danao; Dasheill; Dixon; Ford; Graves; Lee; Louis; Neff; Neideffer; Souza; Toro; Chair Tribble

Noes: None

Abstain: Syren

Future Meeting Topics Prioritization

In the future meetings the Task Force will discuss the topics and dates for the upcoming work.

Public Comment

Public Comment was given.

Meeting adjourned at 2:30 PM

Next meeting August 25, 2022 at 1:00 pm

Agenda, Minutes and Meeting Recordings are available online

Visit us at: www.AlamedaCountyCFJLTaskForce.org

Email: CFJLTaskForce@acgov.org